arXiv:1411.1246v1 [math.RT] 5 Nov 2014

ON THE COHOMOLOGY OF SL_2 WITH COEFFICIENTS IN A SIMPLE MODULE

JOHN RIZKALLAH

Abstract. Let G be the simple algebraic group SL_2 defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Using results of A. Parker, we develop a method which gives, for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, a closed form description of all simple modules M such that $\mathrm{H}^q(G, M) \neq 0$, together with the associated dimensions $\dim \mathrm{H}^q(G, M)$. We apply this method for arbitrary primes p and for $q \leq 3$, confirming results of Cline and Stewart along the way. Furthermore, we show that under the hypothesis $p > q$, the dimension of the cohomology $\mathrm{H}^q(G, M)$ is at most 1, for any simple module M. Based on this evidence we discuss a conjecture for general semisimple algebraic groups.

1. Introduction

In [PS11], the authors prove that for any semisimple simply connected algebraic group G with root system Φ over an algebraically closed field k of positive characteristic, there is a constant $c = c(\Phi, q)$ such that $\dim \mathrm{H}^q(G, L(\lambda)) \leq c$ for any dominant weight λ. In particular, this constant can be chosen independently of the characteristic p of k. However, one cannot drop the dependence of c on q, even for the case $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$: in [Ste12], Stewart shows that, for any fixed p, the sequence

$$\{\gamma_q\} = \{\max_{\lambda} \dim \mathrm{H}^q(\mathrm{SL}_2, L(\lambda))\}$$

grows exponentially in q. However, for p sufficiently large compared to the degree of the cohomology, we show that in the case $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$ the constant c can indeed be chosen independently of q. Specifically, we show that, if $p > q$, then $\dim \mathrm{H}^q(\mathrm{SL}_2, L(\lambda)) \leq 1$. In order to prove this result we develop, using a theorem of Parker, a method for finding the weights λ such that the space $\mathrm{H}^q(\mathrm{SL}_2, L(\lambda))$ is non-trivial. This method also produces a closed-form description of these weights which is uniform in p. We demonstrate the method in the cases $q = 1, 2, 3$. The first case recovers a special case of a result of Cline in [Cli79], the second case recovers a result of Stewart in [Ste10]. The third case is a new result:

Theorem A. *Let $M = L(\lambda)^{[n]}$ be any Frobenius twist (possibly trivial) of the simple module of highest weight λ. If $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathrm{SL}_2, M) \neq 0$ and $p > 2$ then λ is one of*

(i) $4p - 2$
(ii) $2p^2 - 4p \qquad (p > 3)$
(iii) $p^n(2p - 2) + 2p \qquad (n > 1)$
(iv) $2p^2 + 2p - 2$
(v) $p^n(2p - 2) + 2p^2 - 2p - 2 \qquad (n > 2)$
(vi) $2p^3 - 2p^2 - 2p - 2$
(vii) $p^n(\lambda_2) + 2p - 2 \qquad (n > 1,\ \lambda_2$ *any 2-cohomological weight).*

Furthermore, $\dim \mathrm{H}^3(\mathrm{SL}_2, M) = 1$.

If $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathrm{SL}_2, M) \neq 0$ and $p = 2$ then λ is one of

(i) 6
(ii) 8
(iii) $2^n + 2$ $(n > 3)$
(iv) $2^n + 4$ $(n > 3)$
(v) $2^n + 10$ $(n > 4)$.

Furthermore, $\dim \mathrm{H}^3(\mathrm{SL}_2, M) = 1$*, except when* $\lambda = 2^n+4$ *and* $n > 4$*, in which case* $\dim \mathrm{H}^3(\mathrm{SL}_2, M) = 2$.

In §4, we apply our method to get generic results when $p > q$ for q the degree of cohomology. For this we use Parker's formula together with Jantzen's translation functors; ultimately, we get a closed form description of certain basic q-cohomological weights, a set we denote by W_q.

In order to express the set W_q, we give the following definition,

Definition 1.1. Given a weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\lambda \equiv 0 \mod 2p$ or $\lambda \equiv -2 \mod 2p$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define λ *shifted by* n, denoted $\lambda \parallel n$, as follows:

(i) If $\lambda \equiv 0 \mod 2p$ and n is even, $\lambda \parallel n = p(\lambda + n)$.
(ii) If $\lambda \equiv 0 \mod 2p$ and n is odd, $\lambda \parallel n = p(\lambda + n) + p - 2$.
(iii) If $\lambda \equiv -2 \mod 2p$ and n is even, $\lambda \parallel n = p(\lambda - n)$.
(iv) If $\lambda \equiv -2 \mod 2p$ and n is odd, $\lambda \parallel n = p(\lambda - n) + p - 2$.

The main result is

Theorem B. *Assume* $p > q$*. Let* $M = L(\lambda)^{[n]}$ *be any Frobenius twist (possibly trivial) of the simple* SL_2*-module of highest weight* λ*, and assume that* $\dim \mathrm{H}^q(\mathrm{SL}_2, M) \neq 0$*. Then* λ *lies in the set* W_q*, where*

$$W_q = \{(p^n\lambda_i) \parallel (q - i) \mid n \geq 0, \lambda_i \in W_i, i = 0 \dots q - 1\}.$$

Moreover, $\dim \mathrm{H}^q(SL_2, M) = 1$.

We finish this section by asking if the result of Theorem B can be generalised.

Question 1.2. Does there exists a constant $p_0 = p_0(q)$ such that, if $p > p_0$ and G is a semisimple algebraic group with root system Φ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p, then there is a constant $c = c(\Phi)$ such that $\dim \mathrm{H}^q(G, M) \leq c$ for any simple G-module M?

2. Notation and Preliminaries

Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$ defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Choose a Borel subgroup B corresponding to the negative root, and a maximal torus T contained in B. The lattice of weights $X(T)$ may be identified with $\mathbb{Z}$, and the lattice of dominant weights $X(T)^+$ with $\mathbb{N}$. Thus for each positive integer λ there is an irreducible module $L(\lambda)$ of highest weight λ. We also have the induced modules $\nabla(\lambda) := \mathrm{H}^0(G/B, k_\lambda) = \mathrm{Ind}_B^G(k_\lambda)$, and the Weyl modules $\Delta(\lambda) = \nabla(-w_0\lambda)^*$, where w_0 is the longest element of the Weyl group. Let V be a G-module. The dth Frobenius automorphism of G raises each matrix entry to the power p^d. When composed with the representation $G \to GL(V)$, this induces the module $V^{[d]}$, called the dth Frobenius twist of V.

For any G-module M, the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_G(M, -)$ of G-modules is left exact and so has right derived functors, which we denote by $\mathrm{Ext}^n_G(M, -)$. We define the cohomology functors $\mathrm{H}^n(G, -) = \mathrm{Ext}^n_G(k, -)$. We will drop the subscript G and simply write Ext^n.

The following three results are central to our analysis.

Theorem 2.1 (Steinberg's Tensor Product Theorem)**.** *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ be a dominant weight, and write $\lambda = \lambda_0 + p\lambda_1 + \cdots + p^n\lambda_n$, where $0 \leq \lambda_i < p$ for each i. Then*

$$L(\lambda) \cong L(\lambda_0) \otimes L(\lambda_1)^{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\lambda_n)^{[n]}$$

as G-modules.

Theorem 2.2 ([And80, The Linkage Principle])**.** *Let λ and μ be dominant weights, and let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i(\Delta(\mu), L(\lambda)) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{ either } \lambda + \mu \equiv -2 \mod 2p \text{ or } \lambda \equiv \mu \mod 2p.$$

Theorem 2.3 ([Par07, Theorem 6.1])**.** *Suppose that $p > 2$ is prime, $b \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq i \leq p-2$, and M is a finite-dimensional rational G-module. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(pb+i), M^{[1]} \otimes L(i)) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \text{ even}}}^{n=q} \mathrm{Ext}^{q-n}(\Delta(n+b), M) \tag{1}$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(pb+i), M^{[1]} \otimes L(p-2-i)) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \text{ odd}}}^{n=q} \mathrm{Ext}^{q-n}(\Delta(n+b), M) \tag{2}$$

We will also use the following, a consequence of the equivalence of categories of G-modules arising from the functor $F : V \mapsto \mathrm{St}_1 \otimes V^{[1]}$ (see [Jan03, II.10.5] and [Par07, §3]). We have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(pb+p-1, M^{[1]} \otimes L(p-1)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(b), M) \tag{3}$$

With the help of the Linkage Principle and the Tensor Product Theorem, these formulae can be used to find closed-form descriptions of the sets W_q defined below. This is the method we develop in this paper.

Definition 2.4. We say that a weight λ is (q,n)-*cohomological* if $\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(n), L(\lambda)) \neq 0$. In particular, we say that λ is q-*cohomological* if it is $(q,0)$-cohomological, i.e. if $\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{H}^q(\mathrm{SL}_2, L(\lambda)) \neq 0$.

For each $q \geq 1$, the set of all weights λ such that $\mathrm{H}^q(\mathrm{SL}_2, L(\lambda)) \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^q(\mathrm{SL}_2, L(\lambda)^{[-1]}) = 0$ will be denoted W_q. We refer to the elements of W_q as the *maximally untwisted* q-cohomological weights. We will take $W_0 = \{0\}$, as this makes the statement of Proposition 4.6 neater.

3. Closed form descriptions of $\dim \mathrm{H}^q(G, M)$ for fixed primes: Proof of Theorem A

We demonstrate an algorithm which uses Theorem 2.3 to give, for fixed p, a list of the (q,r)-cohomological weights λ, and the dimensions of $\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(r), L(\lambda))$, provided that

(i) all the (s,t)-cohomological weights and the associated dimensions are known for all $s < q$, where $s + t = q + r'$; and
(ii) all the (q,r')-cohomological weights and the associated dimensions are known;

where $r = pr' + r_0$ and $0 \leq r_0 < p$.

Certainly the $(0, r)$-cohomological weights are known: if $\mathrm{Ext}^0(\Delta(r), L(\lambda)) \neq 0$ then $\lambda = r$, and the dimension of the Ext-group is 1. We aim to continue by induction, producing iteratively a list of all q-cohomological weights for any fixed $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and let λ, r be fixed but arbitrary with $\lambda = p\lambda' + \lambda_0$ and $r = pr' + r_0$. Assume that $\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(r), L(\lambda)) \neq 0$ and also assume that (i) and (ii) hold. If $r_0 = p - 1$ then by Equation (3) we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(r), L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(r'), L(\lambda')).$$

Now by (ii) we know the non-zero dimensions of $\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(r'), L(\lambda'))$, so we may write down a list of all the (q, r)-cohmological weights in this case. Specifically, suppose λ' is a (q, r')-cohomological weight with associated dimension d; then $p\lambda' + p - 1$ is a (q, r)-cohomological weight with associated dimension d, and every (q, r)-cohomological weight arises this way. This deals with the case $r_0 = p - 1$.

Otherwise, $r_0 \leq p - 2$. By the Linkage Principle, we have either $\lambda_0 = r_0$ or $\lambda_0 = p - 2 - r_0$. In the first case, Parker's formula (1) gives us that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(r), L(\lambda)) = \bigoplus_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \text{ even}}}^{n=q} \mathrm{Ext}^{q-n}(\Delta(n + r'), L(\lambda')).$$

We analyse the direct summands in turn. The first direct summand is $\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(r'), L(\lambda'))$. If $r > 0$ we have $r' < r$, and so again by (ii) we have that $\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(r'), L(\lambda'))$ is known, so we may pass to the next summand. If $r = 0$, this first summand is $\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(0), L(\lambda'))$, which we may assume is also known, this time by induction on λ, since $\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(0), L(0)) = 0$ for any $q > 0$. So we may pass to the next summand. The remaining summands are of the form $\mathrm{Ext}^{q-n}(\Delta(n + r'), L(\lambda'))$ with $n \neq 0$. Now by (i) these values are assumed to be known, so we are done. More specifically, one obtains all the (q, r)-cohomological weights from the union of the (q, r')-cohomological weights together with the $(q - n, n + r')$-cohomological weights, where n is even. To calculate the associated dimension of a (q, r)-cohomological weight λ, we note how many times λ' appears as a $(q - n, n + r')$-cohomological weight over all even values of n, which is achieved by induction on λ.

The second case (where $\lambda_0 = p - 2 - r_0$) is very similar to the first, using formula (2) instead of formula (1).

By way of example, we use the above procedure to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $M = L(\lambda)^{[n]}$ be any Frobenius twist (possibly trivial) of the simple module of highest weight λ.*

(i) If $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{SL}_2, M) \neq 0$ then $\lambda = 2p - 2$.
(ii) If $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathrm{SL}_2, M) \neq 0$ then λ is one of
- $2p$
- $2p^2 - 2p - 2 \qquad (p > 2)$
- $p^n(2p - 2) + 2p - 2 \qquad (n > 1)$.

(iii) If $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathrm{SL}_2, M) \neq 0$ and $p > 2$ then λ is one of
- $4p - 2$
- $2p^2 - 4p \qquad (p > 3)$
- $p^n(2p - 2) + 2p \qquad (n > 1)$
- $2p^2 + 2p - 2$

- $p^n(2p-2)+2p^2-2p-2 \qquad (n>2)$
- $2p^3-2p^2-2p-2$
- $p^n(\lambda_2)+2p-2 \qquad (n>1,\ \lambda_2$ *any 2-cohomolgical weight).*

If $p=2$ *then* λ *is one of*

- *6*
- *8*
- $2^n+2 \qquad (n>3)$
- $2^n+4 \qquad (n>3)$
- $2^n+10 \qquad (n>4)$

Proof. (i) Write $\lambda = p\lambda' + \lambda_0$. First let us assume that $\lambda_0 = p-2$. Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ext}^0(\Delta(1), L(\lambda')).$$

This is nonzero if and only if $\lambda' = 1$, and so

$$\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = 1 \text{ when } \lambda = 2p-2.$$

If we assume that $\lambda_0 = 0$ then we get

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(0), L(\lambda')).$$

This tells us that λ is 1-cohomological if and only if λ' is 1-cohomological. Since $\lambda = p\lambda'$, this is just the statement that the twist of a cohomological weight is itself cohomological.
By the Linkage Principle, if λ_0 is neither 0 nor $p-2$ then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(0), L(\lambda))$ is zero. Thus we conclude that the only 1-cohomological weights are $p^n(2p-2)$ for $n \geq 0$, i.e. $W_1 = \{2p-2\}$, and that in this case the cohomological dimension is 1.

(ii) Write $\lambda = p\lambda' + \lambda_0$. First let us assume that $\lambda_0 = 0$. Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(0), L(\lambda')) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}^0(\Delta(2), L(\lambda')).$$

In order for the Ext^0 term to be nonzero we must have $\lambda' = 2$. This forces the Ext^2 term to be zero, and so we conclude that

$$\dim \mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = 1 \text{ when } \lambda = 2p.$$

Now let's assume that $\lambda_0 = p-2$. Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(1), L(\lambda')).$$

We now need to determine the $(1,1)$-cohomological weights. So we write $\lambda' = p\lambda'' + \lambda_0'$, and first assume that $\lambda_0' = p-3$. Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(1), L(\lambda')) = \mathrm{Ext}^0(\Delta(1), L(\lambda'')).$$

This is nonzero if and only if $\lambda'' = 1$, and so

$$\dim \mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = 1 \text{ when } \lambda = 2p^2-2p-2.$$

If we assume that $\lambda_0' = 1$, we get

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(1), L(\lambda')) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(0), L(\lambda'')).$$

This is nonzero if and only if $\lambda'' = p^n(2p-2)$, and so

$$\dim \mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = 1 \text{ when } \lambda = 2p-2+p^n(2p-2) \text{ for some } n \geq 2.$$

So $W_2 = \{2p, 2p^2-2p-2, 2p-2+p^n(2p-2) \mid n \geq 2\}$.

(iii) Write $\lambda = p\lambda' + \lambda_0$. First let us assume that $\lambda_0 = 0$. Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^3(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ext}^3(\Delta(0), L(\lambda')) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(2), L(\lambda')).$$

Write $\lambda' = p\lambda'' + \lambda'_0$ and assume $\lambda'_0 = 2$. Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(2), L(\lambda')) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(0), L(\lambda'')).$$

So $\lambda'' = p^n(2p-2)$, giving $\lambda = p^n(2p-2) + 2p$ for some $n \geq 2$.
If we assume that $\lambda'_0 = p - 4$ then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(2), L(\lambda')) = \mathrm{Ext}^0(\Delta(1), L(\lambda''))$$

which gives $\lambda = 2p^2 - 4p$.
Now assume that $\lambda_0 = p - 2$. Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^3(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(1), L(\lambda')) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}^0(\Delta(3), L(\lambda')).$$

The Ext^0 term is nonzero if and only if $\lambda' = 3$, giving $\lambda = 4p - 2$.
For the Ext^2 term, write $\lambda' = p\lambda'' + \lambda'_0$ and assume $\lambda'_0 = 1$. Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(1), L(\lambda')) = \mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(0), L(\lambda'')) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}^0(\Delta(2), L(\lambda'')).$$

The Ext^0 term is nonzero if and only if $\lambda'' = 2$, giving $\lambda = 2p^2 + 2p - 2$. The Ext^2 term is nonzero if and only if $\lambda = p^2(\lambda_2) + 2p - 2$, where λ_2 denotes any 2-cohomological weight.
If we assume that $\lambda'_0 = p - 3$ then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(1), L(\lambda')) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(1), L(\lambda'')).$$

So now we have to write $\lambda'' = p\lambda''' + \lambda''_0$. If $\lambda''_0 = 1$ then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(1), L(\lambda'')) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(0), L(\lambda'''))$$

which is nonzero if and only if $\lambda''' = p^n(2p-2)$, giving $\lambda = p^n(2p-2) + 2p^2 - 2p - 2$ for some $n \geq 3$.
If $\lambda''_0 = p - 3$ then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(1), L(\lambda'')) = \mathrm{Ext}^0(\Delta(1), L(\lambda'''))$$

which is nonzero if and only if $\lambda''' = 1$, giving $\lambda = 2p^3 - 2p^2 - 2p - 2$.

For the $p = 2$ result, we use the following formula, taken from [Par07]:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(2b), L(\lambda)) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{n=q} \mathrm{Ext}^{q-n}(\Delta(b+n), L(\lambda'))$$

where $\lambda = 2\lambda'$. So with $b = 0$ and $q = 3$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^3(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ext}^3(\Delta(0), L(\lambda')) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(1), L(\lambda')) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(2), L(\lambda')) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}^0(\Delta(3), L(\lambda')).$$

The Ext^0 term is nonzero if and only if $\lambda' = 3$, giving $\lambda = 6$.
Applying the formula to the Ext^1 term gives

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(2), L(\lambda')) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(1), L(\lambda'')) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}^0(\Delta(2), L(\lambda'')).$$

The Ext^0 term is nonzero if and only if $\lambda'' = 2$, giving $\lambda = 8$.
We have $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(1), L(\lambda'')) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(0), L(\lambda'''))$, where $\lambda'' = 2\lambda''' + 1$. Taking $\lambda''' = 2^n$ for $n > 0$ gives $\lambda = 2^n + 4$ for $n > 3$.
The next summand to analyse is $\mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(1), L(\lambda'))$. We have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(1), L(\lambda')) = \mathrm{Ext}^2(\Delta(0), L(\lambda''))$$

where $\lambda' = 2\lambda'' + 1$. If this is non-zero then either $\lambda'' = 2^n$ with $n > 1$ or $\lambda'' = 2^n + 2$ with $n > 2$. These give $\lambda = 2^n + 2$ with $n > 3$ and $\lambda = 2^n + 10$ with $n > 4$ respectively.

□

The first part of this theorem confirms a result of Cline in [Cli79]. The second part confirms a result of Stewart in [Ste10].

4. Generic results for large primes: Proof of Theorem B

From the examples in the previous section, one sees that when $p \geq 5$, the list of cohomological weights is uniform with p. Indeed, by inspecting examples, one may observe that when $p > q$, the list of q-cohomological weights is uniform with p. In this section we will prove that this is always true. Henceforth we shall assume that $p > q$.

Suppose λ is q-cohomological, so $H = \mathrm{H}^q(G, L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) \neq 0$. Then it follows from the Linkage Principle that either $\lambda = p\lambda'$ for some even λ' or $\lambda = p\lambda' + p - 2$ for some odd λ'. Thus

$$H = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{n=q} \mathrm{Ext}^{q-n}(\Delta(n), L(\lambda'))$$

where the sum is taken over either even or odd numbers only. Clearly if H is nonzero then one of the summands $\mathrm{Ext}^{q-i}(\Delta(i), L(\lambda'))$ is nonzero. So, Parker's formulae have reduced the computation of q-cohomological weights to the computation of $(q-n, n)$-cohomological weights, for all $0 < n \leq q$. Furthermore, every maximally untwisted q-cohomological weight arises from a $(q-n, n)$-cohomological weight in this way.

The next result shows how the $(q-n, n)$-cohomological weights themselves arise from $(q-n)$-cohomological weights.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $\lambda \in X(T)^+$ is linked to zero, and let $n \leq p-2$ be an integer.*

(i) If $\lambda \equiv 0 \mod 2p$ then $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ext}^i(\Delta(n), L(\lambda + n))$.
(ii) If $\lambda \equiv -2 \mod 2p$ then $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{Ext}^i(\Delta(n), L(\lambda - n))$.

Proof. In [Jan03, II.7.6], Jantzen gives the isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_G(V, T^\lambda_\mu V') \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i_G(T^\mu_\lambda V, V')$$

for all i, where V and V' are G-modules and T^μ_λ is a translation functor. Setting $\mu = \lambda + n$ we can apply this to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}^i(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) &= \mathrm{Ext}^i(\Delta(0), T^\lambda_\mu L(\mu)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(T^\mu_\lambda \Delta(0), L(\mu)) \\ &= \mathrm{Ext}^i(\Delta(n), L(\mu)) \end{aligned}$$

which proves *(i)*. Part *(ii)* is similar. □

Remark 4.2. Alternatively, this Lemma can be proved directly from Parker's formulae: For part *(i)*, if we expand $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\Delta(0), L(\lambda))$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\Delta(n), L(\lambda + n))$ using formula (1), we see that they are both equal to

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)^{[-1]}) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}^{i-2}(\Delta(2), L(\lambda)^{[-1]}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{Ext}^a(\Delta(i-a), L(\lambda)^{[-1]})$$

where $a = 1$ if i is odd, $a = 0$ otherwise. Part *(ii)* is proved similarly with formula (2).

Therefore, all q-cohomological weights arise from $(q-n)$-cohomological weights via the method described above.

Lemma 4.1 motivates Definition 1.1 which the reader should recall now.

Corollary 4.3. *If λ is $(q-n)$-cohomological, then $\lambda \parallel n$ is q-cohomological.*

Proof. There are four separate cases to consider, which, following Definition 1.1, arise from the parity of $q-n$ and the parity of λ. We will only prove the case where $q-n$ is even and $\lambda \equiv 0 \mod 2p$; the remaining cases can be proved with similar arguments. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim \mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(0), L(\lambda \parallel n)) &= \dim \mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(0), L(p(\lambda+n))) \\
&\geq \dim \mathrm{Ext}^{q-n}(\Delta(n), L(\lambda+n)) && \text{(by formula (1))} \\
&= \dim \mathrm{Ext}^{q-n}(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) && \text{(by Lemma 4.1)} \\
&> 0. && \text{(by assumption)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *Assume $p > q \geq 1$. If λ is linked to zero then $\mathrm{H}^q(G, L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{H}^q(G, L(\lambda)^{[1]})$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^q(G, L(\lambda)^{[1]}) &= \mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)^{[1]}) \\
&= \bigoplus_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \text{ even}}}^{n=q} \mathrm{Ext}^{q-n}(\Delta(n), L(\lambda)) && \text{(by formula (1))} \\
&= \mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) && \text{(by the Linkage Principle, since } n \leq q < p) \\
&= \mathrm{H}^q(G, L(\lambda)).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following is an immediate consequence of the lemma, using the injective map $\mathrm{H}^m(G, M) \to \mathrm{H}^m(G, M^{[1]})$ induced by the Frobenius twist.

Corollary 4.5. *If λ is q-cohomological then $p^n\lambda$ is q-cohomological for all $n > 0$.*

The next result gives a closed-form description of the set W_q.

Theorem 4.6. *Assume $p > q \geq 1$. Then*

$$W_q = \{(p^n\lambda_i) \parallel (q-i) \mid n \geq 0, \lambda_i \in W_i, i = 0 \ldots q-1\}.$$

Proof. If $\lambda \in W_q$ then by Theorem 2.3 there is an integer n such that $1 \leq n \leq q$ and the space $\mathrm{Ext}^{q-n}(\Delta(n), L(\lambda'))$ is nonzero (where $\lambda = p\lambda' + \lambda_0$ and $0 \leq \lambda_0 < p$). By Lemma 4.1, either $\lambda' + n$ or $\lambda' - n$ is $(q-n)$-cohomological. But then either $\lambda = (\lambda' + n) \parallel n$ or $\lambda = (\lambda' - n) \parallel n$, and so $\lambda \in \{(p^n\lambda_i) \parallel (q-i) \mid n \geq 0, \lambda_i \in W_i, i = 0 \ldots q-1\}$.

It then follows from Corollaries 4.5 and 4.3 that W_q contains this set. □

Proof of Theorem A. If $\mathrm{H}^q(G, L(\lambda)) \neq 0$ then by Lemma 4.4 we may assume that $\lambda \in W_q$. So it remains to show that if $\lambda \in W_q$ then $\dim \mathrm{H}^q(G, L(\lambda)) = \dim \mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) = 1$. We will use induction on q, and restrict our attention to the case where $q-i$ is even, $\lambda_i \equiv 0 \mod 2p$ and $n = 0$;

as before, the remaining cases are dealt with similarly. Let $\lambda_i \in W_i$, so that $\lambda = \lambda_i \parallel (q-i) \in W_q$. Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \text{ even}}}^{n=q} \mathrm{Ext}^{q-n}(\Delta(n), L(\lambda_i + q - i)).$$

Since $n \leq q < p$, then by the Linkage Principle, the only term that can be nonzero is $n = q - i$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}^q(\Delta(0), L(\lambda)) &= \mathrm{Ext}^i(\Delta(q-i), L(\lambda_i + q - i)) \\ &= \mathrm{Ext}^i(\Delta(0), L(\lambda_i)) \qquad \text{(by Lemma 4.1)} \end{aligned}$$

whose dimension is 1 by induction. □

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my supervisor D. Stewart for his help in producing this paper.

References

[And80] Henning Haahr Andersen, *The strong linkage principle*, J. reine angew. Math. **315** (1980), 53–59.
[Cli79] Edward Cline, *Ext^1 for SL_2*, Comm. Algebra **7** (1979), 107–111.
[Jan03] Jens Carsten Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic Groups*, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.
[Par07] Alison Parker, *Higher extensions between modules for SL_2*, Adv. Math. **209** (2007), 381–405.
[PS11] Brian Parshall and Leonard Scott, *Bounding Ext for Modules for Algebraic Groups, Finite Groups and Quantum Groups*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), 2065–2088.
[Ste10] David Stewart, *The Second Cohomology of Simple SL_2-modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 427–434.
[Ste12] ———, *Unbounding Ext*, J. Algebra **365** (2012), 1–11.

Homerton College, Cambridge

E-mail address: jr588@cam.ac.uk